

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,424	08/25/2003	John McFarland Harris	CE10278R (78910)	2794	
22917 MOTOROLA,	7590 07/31/2007 INC.		EXAMINER		
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			HO, HUY C		
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBUI	RG, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
		•	·	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/31/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Schaumburg@motorola.com APT099@motorola.com

	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/6	47,424	HARRIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summ	ary Exam	niner	Art Unit	Ţ.			
	Huy 0	C. Ho	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appears o	n the coversheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DATE Of provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. eximum statutory period will apply a d for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the communication.	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo ne application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>14 May 200</u>	<u>07</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	e practice under <i>Ex parte</i>	e Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 18-25</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	<u>17</u> is/are withdrawn from d. e rejected. ed to.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/25</u> . Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objective.	/2003 is/are: a)⊠ accep any objection to the drawing ncluding the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority doc ternational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in cuments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,424 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 18-19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer et al. (6,658,027) and further in view of Rogers et al. (2001/0055276).

Consider claim 1, (original) Kramer discloses a method for regulating a remaining play-out depth of a play-out buffer in a destination mobile unit (see the abstract, figure 1), the method comprising:

Kramer discloses:

receiving at least one communication from a source mobile unit in a play-out buffer, the play-out buffer having an associated play-out depth (figures 1, 4, col 1 lines 39-45, col 3 lines 53-67, col 5 lines 15-27, col 7 lines 50-67, col 8 lines 1-20);

playing the communications received at the play-out buffer to a recipient at the destination mobile unit (col 1 lines 25-33, col 3 lines 55-67);

determining the remaining play-out depth of the play-out buffer in the destination mobile unit (col 5 lines 1-27, 35-65); and

sending to the source mobile unit when the remaining play-out depth of the play-out buffer in the destination mobile unit reaches a predetermined threshold (col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10).

Kramer does not specifically show an indication. In an analogous art, Rogers discloses an indication (see section [47]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, and having an indication, as taught by Rogers, thus improving wireless communication as discussed by Rogers (see sections [2]-[6], [8]-[9]).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,424

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 6, (original) Kramer discloses a method of regulating a coding rate of communications transmitted from a source wireless unit to a destination wireless unit (see the abstract, col 4 lines 9-35), the method comprising:

Kramer discloses:

encoding communications in a vocoder at the source mobile unit at a coding rate and transmitting the communications to the destination unit (figure 1, col 3 lines 30-50);

receiving from the destination mobile unit (col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10; and

adjusting the coding rate of the vocoder in the source mobile unit to the received from the destination mobile unit (col 6 lines 19-32, col 7 lines 33-67, col 8 lines 1-20).

Kramer does not specifically show an indication. In an analogous art, Rogers discloses an indication (see section [47]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, and having an indication, as taught by Rogers, thus improving wireless communication as discussed by Rogers (see sections [2]-[6], [8]-[9]).

Consider claim 18, (original) Kramer discloses a wireless transmission device comprising:

Kramer discloses:

a transceiver having an message input (figure 1, col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10);

a storage register coupled to the transceiver, the storage register storing at least one indication message received by the transceiver at the message input (figure 1, col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10);

a vocoder having a communication output and a control input and further having an associated adjustable vocoder coding rate that is responsive to the control input (figures 1 and 2, col 6 lines 19-32,

Art Unit: 2617

col 7 lines 33-67, col 8 lines 1-20);

a controller that is operably coupled to the storage register and coupled to the vocoder by the control input, the controller forming a signal on the control input based upon contents of the at least one message present in the storage register (figure 2, col 4 lines 54-67, col 5 lines 1-15, 35-67, col 6 lines 1-50).

Page 5

Kramer does not specifically show an indication. In an analogous art, Rogers discloses an indication (see section [47]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, and having an indication, as taught by Rogers, thus improving wireless communication as discussed by Rogers (see sections [2]-[6], [8]-[9]).

Consider claim 22, (previously presented) Kramer discloses a device for controlling a rate of incoming communications comprising:

Kramer discloses:

a wireless transceiver having at least one output (figure 2, col 4 lines 54-67, col 5 lines 1-15, 35-67, col 6 lines 1-50);

a play-out buffer having a play-out depth and storing communications received from a source mobile unit (col 1 lines 39-45, col 3 lines 53-67, col 5 lines 15-27, col 7 lines 50-67, col 8 lines 1-20);

a register containing data representing remaining play-out depth of the play- out buffer (col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10);

a controller coupled to the play-out buffer and the register, the controller also coupled to the transceiver via a message output, the message output corresponding to contents of the register (figure 2, col 4 lines 54-67, col 5 lines 1-15, 35-67, col 6 lines 1-50);

such that the wireless transceiver will transmit a communication that comprises the message

Art Unit: 2617

output when the play-out depth reaches a predetermined threshold (col 5 lines 1-27, col 9 lines 60-67, col 10 lines 1-10).

Kramer does not specifically show an indication. In an analogous art, Rogers discloses an indication (see section [47]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, and having an indication, as taught by Rogers, thus improving wireless communication as discussed by Rogers (see sections [2]-[6], [8]-[9]).

Consider claim 2, (original) The method of claim 1, Kramer, as modified by Rogers, discloses: encoding and transmitting the communications from the source mobile unit to the destination mobile unit at a coding rate (the abstract, sections [2]);

receiving the indication from the destination mobile unit (sections [3], [5], [47]); and adjusting the coding rate of the communications sent from the source mobile unit to the destination mobile unit as a function, at least in part, of the indication received from the destination mobile unit (sections [8]-[9], [13]-[15]).

Consider claim 3, (original) The method of claim 2 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, discloses wherein adjusting the coding rate of the source mobile unit comprises adjusting the coding rate of a vocoder in the source mobile unit (sections [20], [25], [47]).

Consider claim 4, (original) The method of claim 1 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses wherein sending an indication comprises sending a real-time transport protocol (RTP) header (see col 10 lines 10-25).

Consider claim 7, (original) The method of claim 6 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses wherein receiving an indication comprises receiving a real-time transport protocol (RTP) header (see col 10 lines 10-25).

Consider claim 10-17, (canceled)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,424

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 19, (original) The device of claim 18 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses wherein the indication message is a real-time transport protocol (RTP) header (see col 10 lines 10-25).

Consider claim 21, (original) The device of claim 18 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses wherein the controller comprises means for determining the content of the at least one indication message (col 4 lines 54-67, col 5 lines 1-15, 35-67, col 6 lines 1-50).

Consider claim 23, (previously presented) The device of claim 22 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses comprising means for playing the communications received at the play-out buffer to a recipient (col 1 lines 25-33, col 3 lines 55-67);

Consider claim 24, (previously presented) The device of claim 22 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses comprising means for determining the remaining depth of the play-out buffer (col 5 lines 1-27, 35-65).

Consider claim 25, (previously presented) The device of claim 22 wherein the indication of playout depth is comprised in an RTP header (see col 10 lines 10-25).

6. Claims 5, 8-9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer et al. (6,658,027), in view of Rogers et al. (2001/0055276) and further in view of Schuster et al. (6,785,261).

Consider claim 5, (original) The method of claim 2, Kramer, as modified by Rogers, discloses wherein receiving an indication (see section [47]). Kramer, as modified by Rogers, does not specifically show acknowledgment message for a frame. In an analogous art, Schuster discloses acknowledgment message for a frame (see col 5 lines 5-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, as modified by Rogers, and have

Art Unit: 2617

acknowledgment message for a frame, as taught by Schuster, thus improving system and method of data transmission between devices as discussed by Schuster (see col 1 lines 9-26, col 2 lines 65-67, col 3 lines 1-30, col 7 lines 9-67).

Consider claims 8, 20, (original) The method of claims 6, 18, Kramer, as modified by Rogers, discloses wherein receiving an indication (see section [47]). Kramer, as modified by Rogers, does not specifically show acknowledgment message. In an analogous art, Schuster discloses acknowledgment message (see col 5 lines 5-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, as modified by Rogers, and have acknowledgment message, as taught by Schuster, thus improving system and method of data transmission between devices as discussed by Schuster (see col 1 lines 9-26, col 2 lines 65-67, col 3 lines 1-30, col 7 lines 9-67).

Consider claim 9, (original) The method of claim 8 Kramer, as modified by Rogers, further discloses wherein receiving the indication comprises a request for retransmission for a frame that was originally sent more than a threshold number of seconds in the past (see col 11 lines 26-41).

Kramer, as modified by Rogers, does not specifically show NAK message. In an analogous art, Schuster discloses NAK message (see col 5 lines 5-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Kramer, as modified by Rogers, and have NAK message, as taught by Schuster, thus improving system and method of data transmission between devices as discussed by Schuster (see col 1 lines 9-26, col 2 lines 65-67, col 3 lines 1-30, col 7 lines 9-67).

Page 9 Application/Control Number: 10/647,424

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 270-1108. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**